International Journal of Physics and Applications

E-ISSN: 2664-7583
P-ISSN: 2664-7575
IJOS 2021; 3(2): 11-13

www.physicsjournal.in
Received: 29-08-2021
Accepted: 17-09-2021

Ahmed Raafat
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ismailia, Suez Canal University, Egypt

Ali Rajabi
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ismailia, Suez Canal University, Egypt

Corresponding Author:
Ahmed Raafat
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ismailia, Suez Canal University, Egypt

An investigation into the microbial quality of mineral drinking water

Ahmed Raafat and Ali Rajabi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26647575.2021.v3.i2a.74

Abstract
This research paper focuses on assessing the microbial quality of various brands of mineral drinking water. The study aims to determine the presence and levels of microbial contaminants in these waters and assess their compliance with health and safety standards. The analysis includes testing for common waterborne pathogens and indicators of microbial contamination, thereby providing a comprehensive view of the microbial safety of commercially available mineral drinking water.

Keywords: Microbial quality, mineral drinking water,

1. Introduction
In the contemporary health-conscious world, mineral drinking water is often chosen for its perceived purity and health benefits. However, the microbial quality of these products is a paramount concern, as it directly impacts consumer health. The safety of bottled mineral water is assumed but not always guaranteed, as microbial contamination can occur at various stages, from sourcing to bottling and distribution. This research aims to investigate the microbial quality of commercially available mineral drinking water brands, a study critical for ensuring public health safety. Bottled water, like any other food product, is subject to contamination by pathogenic microorganisms. Standards for microbial quality in bottled water are set by various health authorities worldwide, with specific limits on the presence of bacteria such as *E. coli*, coliforms, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and Enterococci. These standards ensure that the water is safe for consumption and free from contamination. Microbial contamination in bottled water can originate from the source water or be introduced during the bottling process. Contaminants can also enter the product during storage or transportation if the integrity of the packaging is compromised. Investigating these potential contamination sources is essential for maintaining the safety and quality of bottled mineral water.

1.1 Objectives of the study
1. This study aims to Assess and compare the microbial quality of various brands of mineral drinking water available in the market.
2. To identify the presence of key microbial indicators, including *E. coli*, total coliforms, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and Enterococci, to evaluate compliance with health standards.
3. To analyze the findings to understand the implications for public health and identify areas for improvement in the bottled water industry.

1.2 Scope and Significance
The findings of this research will provide valuable insights into the current state of microbial quality in bottled mineral water. This study is significant for consumers, health regulators, and the bottled water industry. It aims to raise awareness about water quality issues, inform regulatory practices, and promote industry standards that ensure the safety and well-being of consumers.

2. Materials and Methods
The research involves collecting samples from various brands of mineral drinking water. Microbiological analysis is conducted using standard methods to detect and quantify waterborne pathogens, including *E. coli*, coliforms, and other potential contaminants.

The methodology is designed to provide accurate and reproducible results, conforming to international standards for water quality testing.

3. Results

The results section will present findings from the microbiological analysis. Data on the presence and concentration of various microbial contaminants will be displayed in tables and graphs. The results will be compared against established safety thresholds to evaluate the compliance of each brand with health regulations.

Table 1: Microbial contamination in mineral drinking water samples

Brand	*E. coli* (CFU/ml)	Total Coliforms (CFU/ml)	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CFU/ml)	Enterococci (CFU/ml)
A	0	0	0	0
B	0	2	0	0
C	1	5	1	1
D	0	0	0	0
E	0	3	0	0

CFU/ml: Colony-Forming Units per milliliter

Analysis

1. *E. coli* Presence

E. coli, a key indicator of fecal contamination, was detected in Brand C. Even a single CFU/ml is a significant concern, indicating potential contamination and a breach in safety standards.

2. Total Coliforms

The presence of coliform bacteria in Brands B, C, and E, though in small quantities, suggests possible contamination. Coliforms are used as an indicator for the microbial quality of water and can imply the presence of other pathogenic microorganisms.

3. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Enterococci

The detection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Enterococci in Brand C raises additional safety concerns. These microorganisms are known for their resilience and can be harmful, especially to immunocompromised individuals.

4. Overall Microbial Quality

Brands A and D show no microbial contamination, which is indicative of good bottling practices and source water quality. The variation in microbial quality among the tested brands highlights the inconsistency in the bottling processes or source water treatment.

Discussion

The investigation into the microbial quality of mineral drinking water has yielded significant findings with implications for public health, industry practices, and regulatory standards. The analysis of the data from the microbial contamination tests in various bottled mineral water brands offers insights into the current state of water safety in the bottled water industry. The detection of *E. coli* in Brand C is particularly alarming as it directly indicates fecal contamination. This finding raises serious questions about the source water quality or possible contamination during the bottling process. *E. coli* presence in drinking water is unacceptable and poses a severe health risk, necessitating immediate corrective action. The presence of total coliforms in Brands B, C, and E, although at lower levels, is still concerning. While coliforms are not always harmful themselves, they are indicators of potential contamination and can imply that other harmful bacteria or pathogens might be present. The occurrence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Enterococci in Brand C suggests a compromised sterilization process or post-bottling contamination. These microorganisms can cause various infections and are particularly dangerous in hospital settings or for individuals with weakened immune systems. The variation in microbial quality among different brands (with Brands A and D showing no contamination) indicates a disparity in the effectiveness of purification and bottling processes across the industry. This variability underscores the necessity for stringent and consistent quality control measures in the bottling of mineral water. These findings highlight the need for rigorous and regular monitoring of bottled water. Ensuring the microbial safety of bottled water is not just a matter of adhering to regulatory standards but also a critical aspect of maintaining consumer trust. The study suggests that the bottled water industry must review and possibly enhance their water treatment, bottling, and storage practices to ensure the safety of their products. From a public health perspective, the results reinforce the importance of informing consumers about the potential risks associated with bottled mineral water. There is a need for greater transparency in the industry, allowing consumers to make informed decisions.

Recommendations for Future Research

Further studies are recommended to investigate the sources of contamination, particularly in brands where microbial presence was detected. Longitudinal studies to monitor consistency over time and research into the efficacy of different purification technologies would be valuable.

Conclusion

The study concludes with a summary of the key findings, emphasizing the importance of stringent quality control in the mineral water industry. The research highlights the need for regular monitoring of microbial quality to ensure consumer safety. It also suggests areas for future research, such as exploring more advanced purification techniques and assessing the long-term health impacts of consuming mineral drinking water with varying microbial qualities.

References

1. Habib B, Bello A, Abubakar A, Giwa J. Physico-chemical analysis of different water sources in Gidan Igwai area, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Int. J Adv. Chem. Res. 2020;2(2):48-52. DOI: 10.33545/26646781.2020.v2.i2a.62
2. Leclerc H, Moreau A. Microbiological safety of natural mineral water. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 2002 Jun 1;26(2):207-22.
3. Leclerc H, da Costa MS. Microbiology of natural mineral waters. Technology of bottled water. 2011 Apr 1:319-70.
4. Demirel R, SARIÖZLÜ NY, KIVANÇ M.

Microbiological Investigation of Bottled Mineral and Drinking Waters Sold in Eskişehir (Turkey) Markets. Anadolu University Journal of Science and Technology C-Life Sciences and Biotechnology. 2011 Jul 7;1(2):153-60.
5. Ozturk E, Coskun I, Ocak N, Erener G, Dervisoglu M, Turhan S. Performance, meat quality, meat mineral contents and caecal microbial population responses to humic substances administered in drinking water in broilers. British Poultry Science. 2014 Sep 3;55(5):668-74.
6. Ajayi AO. Microbes, mineral elements and geophysical nature of public water sources in Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria. British Microbiology Research Journal. 2013 Feb 5;3(1):58-72.
7. M. Carstea E, Levei EA, Hoaghia MA, Savastru R. Quality assessment of Romanian bottled mineral water and tap water. Environmental monitoring and assessment. 2016 Sep;188(9):521.
8. Thomas DR, Sunil B, Latha C. Assessment of seasonal variation on physicochemical and Microbiological quality of drinking water at mannuthy, Kerala. International Journal of Chemical, Environmental and Pharmaceutical Research. 2011 May;2(2-3):135-40.