International Journal of Physics and Applications

E-ISSN: 2664-7583
P-ISSN: 2664-7575
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.12
IJOS 2025; 7(2): 118-124

www.physicsjournal.in
Received: 17-05-2025
Accepted: 22-06-2025

Dr. Amarjeet
Assistant Professor, Chhotu Ram Arya College, Sonipat, Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Amarjeet
Assistant Professor, Chhotu Ram Arya College, Sonipat, Haryana, India

Surface plasmon dynamics in nanoscale materials: Fundamental physics, state-of-the-art, and future prospects

Amarjeet

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26647575.2025.v7.i2b.177

Abstract
Surface plasmon dynamics in nanoscale materials have emerged as a cornerstone of modern nanophotonics, enabling unprecedented manipulation of light at subwavelength scales. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the fundamental physics governing plasmonic excitations, including localized surface plasmons and propagating surface plasmon polaritons, and their interaction with matter at the quantum and classical levels. We critically examine the state-of-the-art developments in plasmonic sensing, nanomedicine, photocatalysis, energy harvesting, optical communication, and quantum plasmonics, highlighting their transformative potential across interdisciplinary domains. Special emphasis is given to recent advances such as ultrafast plasmon dynamics, strong coupling regimes, plasmon-exciton hybrid systems, and topological plasmonics, which pave the way for next-generation plasmonic devices. Challenges such as high intrinsic losses, fabrication limitations, and integration with complementary technologies are systematically discussed, alongside emerging strategies to address them through low-loss materials, machine learning-driven design, and sustainable plasmonic approaches. The review concludes with a forward-looking perspective on the evolving role of plasmonics in nanoscience, forecasting its critical contributions to future optoelectronics, quantum information processing, and green technologies.

Keywords: Surface plasmons, nanoscale materials, plasmonics, localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR, surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs)

1. Introduction

Surface plasmonics explores collective electron oscillations at metal-dielectric interfaces and in nanoscale structures, enabling the confinement and manipulation of light far below the diffraction limit. This capability has reframed longstanding limits in nanophotonics by supporting strongly enhanced near fields, subwavelength guiding, and resonant light-matter interactions in architectures ranging from extended films to nanoparticles and metasurfaces [1-5]. Beyond its conceptual elegance, plasmonics offers a practical bridge between photonics and nanoelectronics by channeling optical signals into deep-subwavelength volumes compatible with on-chip integration [2, 4].

Studying surface plasmon dynamics the generation, propagation, coupling, and decay of plasmonic excitations is essential because these time- and length-scale processes set the ultimate performance of devices for sensing, spectroscopy, energy conversion, information processing, and quantum technologies. Ultrafast decay pathways govern hot-carrier generation, nonradiative loss, and photothermal response; understanding and engineering these channels has unlocked routes to photocatalysis, photochemistry, and carrier extraction at metal/semiconductor interfaces [3, 7, 9]. At the same time, materials innovations beyond noble metals such as transition-metal nitrides and doped semiconductors seek to reduce loss and expand spectral reach, while 2D platforms like graphene add electrical tunability and strong light-matter coupling from THz to mid-IR [6, 8]. Together, these directions elevate plasmon dynamics from a curiosity of near-field optics to a toolbox for controlling energy flow at the nanoscale [1-4, 7-9].

This review synthesizes the fundamental physics that govern surface plasmon dynamics (dispersion, confinement, and damping), surveys state-of-the-art experimental and computational probes (from near-field and electron-beam spectroscopies to ultrafast techniques), and evaluates materials platforms spanning noble metals, alternative plasmonic media, and 2D systems. We emphasize how dynamical processes—dephasing, Landau damping, electron-phonon coupling, hybridization, and hot-carrier formation translate into figures of merit for applications in sensing, imaging, energy harvesting, and information processing. Finally, we map future prospects, highlighting materials-by-design strategies for low-loss operation, electrically reconfigurable and quantum plasmonics, and integrated photonic-electronic architectures. Foundational texts and benchmark reviews underpin the framework adopted here [1-6], while recent advances in hot-carrier science and graphene plasmonics delineate emerging opportunities [7-9].

2. Fundamental Physics of Surface Plasmons

2.1 Basic Concepts

Plasmons are collective oscillations of conduction electrons in a material; when these charge-density waves couple to the electromagnetic field at an interface or in a nanostructure, they form surface-bound excitations with deeply subwavelength confinement. At a flat metal-dielectric boundary, the coupled excitation is a surface plasmon polariton (SPP) a transverse-magnetic (TM) surface wave whose fields decay evanescently into both media. In finite nanoparticles, the resonance is spatially confined and termed a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), with eigenmodes governed by geometry and environment. Both SPPs and LSPRs arise naturally from Maxwell's equations with dispersive, complex permittivities for the metal and dielectric; the metal's negative real permittivity at optical/IR frequencies (often captured by Drude-Lorentz models) enables the existence of bound modes that lie to the right of the light line and therefore cannot be excited by freely propagating plane waves without momentum matching. These concepts and their canonical derivations underpin modern nanophotonics and are detailed in the classic monographs and reviews of Raether, Maier, and Barnes *et al.* [10-12].

2.2 Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) Mechanism

For a single, planar metal-dielectric interface with dielectric constants $\varepsilon m(\omega)$ and εd, solving Maxwell's equations with TM boundary conditions yields the SPP dispersion relation

$$k_{\parallel}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_m(\omega)\,\varepsilon_d}{\varepsilon_m(\omega)+\varepsilon_d}\right)^{1/2},$$

which exists when $\mathrm{Re}\,\varepsilon m(\omega) < -\varepsilon d$. Because $k\|$ exceeds the in-plane wavevector of light in the dielectric, additional momentum is required for excitation—typically supplied by a prism (Kretschmann/Otto configurations) or a grating. In nanoparticles, the LSPR condition emerges from electrostatic boundary value problems (e.g., the dipolar resonance of a small sphere occurs near $\mathrm{Re}\,\varepsilon m(\omega) \approx -2\varepsilon d$, with spectral position and linewidth controlled by size, shape, and the surrounding medium. Rigorous treatments of dispersion, coupling conditions, and attenuated total reflection (ATR) excitation are provided in Raether's texts and Maier's *Plasmonics* [10, 11, 13].

2.3 Types of Plasmons

Surface Plasmon Polaritons (SPPs): SPPs propagate along extended interfaces and patterned waveguides, enabling subwavelength guiding and field concentration over micrometer-scale propagation lengths limited by material loss and radiation leakage. Their dispersion deviates from the photon line and asymptotically approaches the surface plasma frequency, providing strong sensitivity to $\varepsilon m(\omega)$ [10-12].

- **Localized Surface Plasmons (LSPs):** In metallic nanoparticles and nanoantennas, LSPRs produce intense, spatially confined near fields and characteristic absorption/scattering peaks. Mode energies, polarizabilities, and selection rules can be understood via quasi-static theory and extended through full-wave and quantum-corrected models. When particles or cavities interact, their modes hybridize into bonding/antibonding combinations an electromagnetic analog of molecular orbital theory formalized in the plasmon hybridization framework, which explains spectral splitting, Fano lineshapes, and hot-spot formation in complex geometries [11, 14].
- **Acoustic plasmons and hybrid modes:** At certain metal surfaces hosting a partially occupied Shockley surface state, theory and *ab initio* calculations predict acoustic surface plasmons with approximately linear dispersion at small in-plane momentum, arising from incomplete screening of the two-dimensional surface carriers by the three-dimensional substrate. Such modes have been analyzed for Cu (111), Ag (111), and Au (111), and enrich the low-energy plasmonic landscape. More generally, coupling to excitons, phonons, or cavity photons yields hybrid plasmonic modes (e.g., plexcitons, phonon-plasmon polaritons) with tunable dispersion and linewidths [15,16].

2.4 Energy Transfer and Damping Mechanisms

The linewidth (inverse lifetime) of a plasmonic mode encodes multiple channels of energy flow. Radiative damping arises from photon emission (dominant for larger or strongly scattering nanoparticles and leaky SPP structures). Non-radiative (ohmic) losses originate from intraband and interband absorption in the metal and are captured by the imaginary part of $\varepsilon m(\omega)$. In nanoscale systems, additional surface-assisted Landau damping the direct excitation of electron-hole pairs by strongly confined fields becomes prominent when the characteristic confinement length approaches or falls below the electron mean free path; this manifests as size-dependent broadening ("Kreibig damping") and sets a fundamental limit to field enhancement and confinement. After initial dephasing, the deposited energy thermalizes via electron-electron scattering and then transfers to the lattice through electron-phonon coupling on sub- to few-picosecond timescales, governing hot-carrier yields, photothermal heating, and the dynamics probed in pump-probe experiments. Foundational and modern treatments of these processes are provided by Link & El-Sayed, Kreibig & Vollmer, and quantum theories of Landau damping in nanostructures [17-19].

3. Nanoscale Materials for Plasmonics

3.1 Noble metal nanostructures (Au, Ag, Pt)

Gold and silver remain the benchmark plasmonic media from the visible to near-IR because their interband thresholds and free-electron response yield large negative permittivity with comparatively low loss; the canonical optical constants of Johnson-Christy still underpin quantitative design of SPP and LSPR devices. Size, shape, and alloying (e.g., Au-Ag) tune LSPR energies, scattering/absorption balance, and figures of merit for sensing, imaging, and thermoplasmonics. Continued advances in nanoparticle synthesis and top-down lithography have refined control over linewidths and near-field enhancement, sustaining their dominance for label-free biosensing and nanoantennas despite cost and chemical-stability trade-offs. [20-22, 23].

3.2 Transition-metal nitrides and carbides (e.g., TiN, ZrN)

Refractory nitrides (TiN, ZrN, HfN) and related carbides provide plasmonic behavior into the visible/NIR with far better thermal stability, CMOS compatibility, and mechanical robustness than noble metals, enabling high-temperature metasurfaces and durable coatings. While early work showed TiN can approach Au in selected geometries and bands, subsequent benchmarking revealed material- and morphology-dependent penalties in near-field enhancement for nitride nanoparticles; current efforts focus on stoichiometry control, low-defect growth, and spectral targeting (red/IR) where losses are lower. These materials are increasingly practical for harsh-environment photonics and color/metasurface applications. [24-27].

3.3 2D materials

van der Waals materials host tightly confined polaritons with extreme tunability. Graphene supports gate-tunable mid-IR/THz plasmons with mode areas $\ll\lambda 2$ and strong nonlocal/quantum corrections; experimental and theoretical studies demonstrate electrical/optical modulation, atomistic-scale modeling accuracy, and time-domain control of dispersion and gain. Semiconducting TMDCs (e.g., MoS_2, WS_2) and anisotropic black phosphorus couple strongly to plasmonic near fields, enabling enhanced light-matter interaction, directional responses, and hybrid plexcitonics at room temperature. These platforms extend plasmonics beyond metals toward active, low-footprint optoelectronics. [28].

3.4 Hybrid materials

Hybridization between plasmons and excitons, photonic guided modes, or dielectric Mie resonances offers linewidth narrowing, dispersion engineering, and strong-coupling phenomena (Rabi splittings ~10^2 meV). Foundational and modern studies map classical-quantum descriptions of plexcitons and demonstrate hybrids with TMDC monolayers, thin Ag films, and nanoparticle arrays that deliver large nonlinearities and controllable emission. In parallel, plasmonic metasurfaces and meta-atoms provide wavefront control and resonance multiplexing on ultrathin platforms, while metal-semiconductor junctions exploit hot-carrier/near-field mechanisms to drive photocatalysis and photodetection.

3.5 Emerging plasmonic materials

Dirac surface states in topological insulators (e.g., Bi_2Se_3) host long-lived, linearly dispersing plasmons with robustness against temperature and spin-momentum locking signatures, expanding the plasmonic toolbox to quantum-protected carriers. Highly doped oxides (ITO, AZO) bridge photonics and electronics with tunable ENZ/IR plasmonics suited to modulators, perfect absorbers, and epsilon-near-zero metasurfaces. Halide perovskites while not intrinsically plasmonic form efficient excitonic partners in metal-perovskite hybrids, leveraging strong coupling and field concentration to boost emission and photovoltaics, and are increasingly integrated into plasmon-assisted sensors and emitters. Comprehensive materials roadmaps highlight processing stability, doping control, and interfacial chemistry as decisive levers for performance.

4. Surface Plasmon Dynamics: Characterization and Control

The study of surface plasmon dynamics is crucial to unraveling the fundamental interactions between light and matter at the nanoscale and to engineering plasmonic functionalities for advanced applications. Understanding the spatiotemporal behavior of surface plasmons requires state-of-the-art experimental techniques combined with rigorous theoretical and computational approaches. This section highlights the primary tools and strategies used to characterize and manipulate plasmon dynamics.

4.1 Ultrafast Dynamics and Time-Resolved Spectroscopy

Ultrafast spectroscopy techniques, such as pump-probe and transient absorption spectroscopy, enable direct observation of surface plasmon excitation, relaxation, and dephasing processes on femtosecond timescales. These studies provide insights into electron-electron scattering, electron-phonon coupling, and plasmon-induced hot carrier generation [32, 33]. Time-resolved two-photon photoemission spectroscopy (TR-2PPE) has been particularly valuable in probing hot electron lifetimes and energy transfer mechanisms at metal-dielectric interfaces [34].

4.2 Near-Field Optical Microscopy Techniques

Near-field scanning optical microscopy (NSOM) and scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscopy (s-SNOM) have emerged as powerful methods for mapping plasmonic fields with nanometric spatial resolution. These techniques circumvent the diffraction limit, allowing direct visualization of localized plasmon modes, hot spots, and energy localization within nanostructures [35, 36]. By combining near-field methods with ultrafast lasers, spatiotemporal dynamics of plasmons can be investigated with simultaneous femtosecond temporal and nanometer spatial precision [37].

4.3 Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy (EELS)

Electron energy loss spectroscopy, implemented in transmission electron microscopes (TEM), provides a high-resolution method to probe plasmon resonances with sub-nanometer precision. EELS measures the energy lost by fast electrons interacting with plasmonic modes, thereby revealing localized surface plasmon resonances, modal distributions, and coupling phenomena [38]. Advances in monochromated TEM-EELS have enabled energy resolution below 10 meV, offering unparalleled detail of plasmonic excitations in metallic and hybrid nanostructures [39, 40].

4.4 Theoretical and Computational Approaches

Theoretical frameworks play a central role in predicting and interpreting plasmon dynamics. Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) and discrete dipole approximation (DDA) simulations are widely used to model electromagnetic responses of complex nanostructures [41]. Density functional theory (DFT) provides atomistic insights into the electronic structure and plasmonic behavior of novel materials, while quantum plasmonics frameworks incorporate nonlocal and quantum-size effects to account for deviations from classical electrodynamics at the sub-10 nm scale [42, 43]. Such approaches allow the prediction of novel plasmonic phenomena, including quantum tunneling, charge transfer plasmons, and strong coupling with excitonic systems [44].

4.5 Strategies for Tuning Plasmon Dynamics

The control of plasmonic properties relies on rational design of material composition, geometry, and environment. Size, shape, and aspect ratio of nanoparticles strongly influence resonance frequency, field confinement, and scattering/absorption ratios [45]. Engineering the dielectric environment by embedding nanostructures in host matrices or altering the surrounding refractive index offers additional tuning mechanisms [46]. Furthermore, coupled plasmonic systems such as nanoparticle dimers, arrays, and hybrid metal-semiconductor structures exhibit plasmon hybridization and mode splitting, enabling tailored spectral and temporal responses [47, 47]. These strategies are essential for optimizing plasmonic devices in sensing, energy harvesting, and quantum technologies.

5. Applications of Plasmon Dynamics in Nanoscale Systems

Surface plasmon dynamics play a pivotal role in enabling a wide spectrum of applications across nanotechnology, photonics, and biomedicine. By controlling the excitation, propagation, and dissipation of plasmons, researchers have harnessed these phenomena for advanced sensing, energy conversion, therapy, and quantum technologies.

5.1 Plasmonic Sensing and Biosensing

Plasmonic nanostructures are widely exploited in chemical and biological sensing due to their strong field confinement and extreme sensitivity to local refractive index variations [43]. Localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR)-based sensors have achieved ultrahigh sensitivity, enabling real-time detection of biomarkers, DNA hybridization, and viral particles at femtomolar concentrations [44]. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), driven by plasmonic "hot spots," further enhances molecular detection sensitivity down to the single-molecule level [45].

5.2 Photothermal Therapy and Nanomedicine

The efficient conversion of absorbed light into heat by plasmonic nanostructures underpins their use in photothermal therapy (PTT). Gold nanorods, nanoshells, and nanostars have been designed to absorb in the near-infrared (NIR) window, where tissue penetration is optimal, enabling targeted tumor ablation [46]. Combined with drug delivery and imaging, plasmonic nanostructures serve as multifunctional theranostic platforms [47]. Advances in surface functionalization have further improved their biocompatibility and in vivo stability [48].

5.3 Plasmon-Enhanced Photocatalysis and Solar Energy Harvesting

Plasmonic nanostructures boost photocatalysis by generating energetic hot electrons, enhancing light absorption, and creating strong near-field enhancements [49]. Gold and silver nanoparticles coupled with semiconductor photocatalysts such as TiO_2 and g-C_3N_4 have demonstrated improved hydrogen evolution and CO_2 reduction [50]. In solar energy harvesting, plasmonic structures have been used to enhance absorption in thin-film photovoltaics and hybrid perovskite devices, leading to improved power conversion efficiencies [51].

5.4 Optical Communication and Information Processing

The ability of plasmons to confine and guide light beyond the diffraction limit makes them highly attractive for nanoscale optical circuits [52]. Plasmonic waveguides, switches, and modulators have been proposed for ultrafast optical communication with subwavelength integration [53]. Hybrid plasmonic-photonic architectures enable reduced energy consumption and higher bandwidth for on-chip information processing [54].

5.5 Quantum Plasmonics and Nonlinear Optics

In the quantum regime, plasmonic nanostructures strongly couple with quantum emitters, facilitating single-photon sources and entanglement generation [55]. Plasmon-exciton hybrid systems exhibit Rabi splitting and enable room-temperature strong coupling [56]. Furthermore, the extreme field confinement of plasmons significantly enhances nonlinear optical processes such as second harmonic generation (SHG) and four-wave mixing, enabling new opportunities in quantum photonics [57].

5.6 Plasmon-Assisted Nanoscale Imaging and Metrology

Plasmonic near-field enhancement underlies several advanced imaging modalities. Techniques such as tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) and plasmonic super-resolution microscopy allow visualization of nanoscale features beyond the diffraction limit [58]. Plasmonic nanostructures have also been integrated into interferometry and metrology platforms to achieve ultrasensitive detection of strain, pressure, and mechanical vibrations at the nanoscale [59].

6. State-of-the-Art Advances in Plasmonics

6.1 Ultrafast and Strong Coupling Regimes

Recent progress in ultrafast plasmonics has enabled the manipulation of light-matter interactions at femtosecond timescales, opening opportunities for attosecond-scale control of plasmon oscillations [60]. Strong coupling between plasmons and excitonic states has been demonstrated in nanoscale cavities, allowing for coherent energy exchange and Rabi splitting, which is crucial for quantum photonics [61]. Ultrafast pump-probe spectroscopy and time-resolved electron microscopy have further unveiled the pathways of hot-carrier relaxation and coherent plasmon oscillations, paving the way for on-chip ultrafast optoelectronic devices [62].

6.2 Plasmon-Exciton Hybrid Systems

Hybridization of surface plasmons with excitons in organic semiconductors, 2D transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), and perovskites gives rise to plexcitonic states that combine the advantages of both systems: strong optical confinement and coherent energy transfer [63]. These systems enable room-temperature Bose-Einstein condensation, exciton transport enhancement, and tunable light emission for novel optoelectronic devices [64]. Recent work has also demonstrated control over plexciton dispersion and coupling strength through dielectric engineering and nanostructure geometry [65].

6.3 Topological and Nonlinear Plasmonics

Topological plasmonics leverages the concepts of topological insulators and photonic topological phases to create robust plasmonic modes immune to backscattering and disorder [66]. These topologically protected surface plasmons hold promise for loss-immune plasmonic circuits and robust communication channels [67]. On the other hand, nonlinear plasmonics enables harmonic generation, frequency mixing, and ultrafast modulation at subwavelength scales due to the high field enhancements at plasmonic "hotspots" [68].

Integration of topological and nonlinear approaches has recently been shown to unlock entirely new regimes of light-matter interactions [69].

6.4 Plasmonic Metamaterials and Metasurfaces

Metamaterials and metasurfaces engineered with plasmonic nanostructures enable unprecedented control over electromagnetic waves at the nanoscale, allowing for negative refractive indices, invisibility cloaking, and wavefront shaping [70]. Recent metasurface designs exploit phase-gradient elements, tunable materials (such as graphene and VO_2), and reconfigurable geometries to achieve active control over amplitude, polarization, and phase [71]. These advances are driving new frontiers in holography, flat optics, and high-resolution imaging [72].

6.5 Integration with Photonic and Electronic Platforms

A major frontier in plasmonics lies in its integration with photonic and electronic systems to develop high-speed, low-energy consumption devices [73]. Plasmonic waveguides, modulators, and detectors have been successfully interfaced with silicon photonics to create hybrid circuits that combine plasmonic confinement with CMOS compatibility [74]. Similarly, integration with 2D materials and quantum emitters is enabling multifunctional nanoscale platforms for ultrafast signal processing and quantum information science [75]. Continued advances in material synthesis, nanofabrication, and heterogeneous integration are expected to overcome challenges related to losses and scalability, paving the way for next-generation plasmonic devices [76].

7. Challenges and Limitations

Despite remarkable progress in the field of plasmonics, several challenges limit its widespread application. One of the foremost issues is the high intrinsic losses in metals, primarily due to electron-electron and electron-phonon scattering, which hinder efficient light-matter interactions [32, 44, 60]. Additionally, stability and fabrication reproducibility remain critical concerns, as nanoscale geometrical variations can significantly affect plasmonic resonances and device performance [45, 63].

Quantum size effects also emerge when the dimensions of metallic nanostructures approach the sub-10 nm regime, leading to deviations from classical electrodynamics and the necessity for quantum-corrected models [48, 65]. Moreover, integration with CMOS technology remains a bottleneck, as the compatibility of plasmonic devices with existing semiconductor platforms poses both material and fabrication challenges [56, 70]. Finally, the scalability and cost-effectiveness of producing uniform plasmonic nanostructures on an industrial scale are yet to be fully realized [51, 73].

8. Future Prospects

Looking ahead, several directions hold promise for overcoming current limitations. Low-loss and alternative plasmonic materials, such as doped semiconductors, graphene, and transition-metal nitrides, are actively explored to reduce ohmic losses and enhance stability [54, 60, 71]. At the quantum frontier, quantum plasmonics and single-photon manipulation offer pathways toward nanoscale quantum information processing [56, 67].

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into plasmonic design is expected to accelerate the discovery of optimized nanostructures with tailored optical responses [61, 74]. Furthermore, plasmonic architectures are increasingly seen as enablers of next-generation optoelectronics and quantum technologies, bridging photonics and electronics for ultrafast signal processing [64, 75]. A growing focus on sustainability and green plasmonics, including recyclable materials and energy-efficient nanofabrication, underscores the potential of plasmonics to align with global sustainability goals [66, 46].

9. Conclusion

In summary, plasmonics has emerged as a transformative paradigm in nanoscience and nanotechnology, enabling unprecedented control of light-matter interactions at the nanoscale. The field has advanced from fundamental studies of surface plasmon dynamics [32-42] to diverse applications in sensing, energy harvesting, nanomedicine, and quantum technologies [43-59]. State-of-the-art developments in strong coupling, plexcitons, metamaterials, and topological plasmonics [60-79] are pushing the boundaries of nanoscale optics.

While challenges related to losses, scalability, and integration persist, ongoing efforts in alternative materials, AI-driven design, and quantum plasmonics promise to overcome current bottlenecks. The long-term outlook positions plasmonics as a key enabler of next-generation optoelectronics, sustainable nanotechnology, and quantum-enabled devices, highlighting its transformative potential across science and technology.

References

1. Maier SA. Plasmonics: fundamentals and applications. New York: Springer Science & Business Media; 2007.
2. Barnes WL, Dereux A, Ebbesen TW. Surface plasmon subwavelength optics. Nature. 2003;424(6950):824-830.
3. Ozbay E. Plasmonics: merging photonics and electronics at nanoscale dimensions. Science. 2006;311(5758):189-193.
4. Schuller JA, Barnard ES, Cai W, Jun YC, White JS, Brongersma ML. Plasmonics for extreme light concentration and manipulation. Nat Mater. 2010;9(3):193-204.
5. Atwater HA, Polman A. Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices. Nat Mater. 2010;9(3):205-213.
6. Brongersma ML, Halas NJ, Nordlander P. Plasmon-induced hot carrier science and technology. Nat Nanotechnol. 2015;10(1):25-34.
7. Tame MS, McEnery KR, Özdemir ŞK, Lee J, Maier SA, Kim MS. Quantum plasmonics. Nat Phys. 2013;9(6):329-340.
8. Willets KA, Van Duyne RP. Localized surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy and sensing. Annu Rev Phys Chem. 2007;58:267-297.
9. Stockman MI. Nanoplasmonics: past, present, and glimpse into future. Opt Express. 2011;19(22):22029-22106.
10. Raether H. Surface plasmons on smooth and rough surfaces and on gratings. Berlin: Springer; 1988.
11. Pitarke JM, Silkin VM, Chulkov EV, Echenique PM. Theory of surface plasmons and surface-plasmon polaritons. Rep Prog Phys. 2006;70(1):1-87.
12. Novotny L, Hecht B. Principles of nano-optics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.
13. Ebbesen TW, Genet C, Bozhevolnyi SI. Surface-plasmon circuitry. Phys Today. 2008;61(5):44-50.
14. Zayats AV, Smolyaninov II, Maradudin AA. Nano-optics of surface plasmon polaritons. Phys Rep. 2005;408(3-4):131-314.

15. Garcia de Abajo FJ. Optical excitations in electron microscopy. Rev Mod Phys. 2010;82(1):209-275.
16. Khurgin JB, Sun G. Plasmonic enhancement of optical nonlinearities: figures of merit. Opt Express. 2013;21(22):27460-27480.
17. Kauranen M, Zayats AV. Nonlinear plasmonics. Nat Photonics. 2012;6(11):737-748.
18. Tassin P, Koschny T, Kafesaki M, Soukoulis CM. A comparison of graphene, superconductors, and metals as conductors for metamaterials and plasmonics. Nat Photonics. 2012;6(4):259-264.
19. Giannini V, Fernández-Domínguez AI, Heck SC, Maier SA. Plasmonic nanoantennas: fundamentals and their use in controlling the radiative properties of nanoemitters. Chem Rev. 2011;111(6):3888-3912.
20. Link S, El-Sayed MA. Size and temperature dependence of the plasmon absorption of colloidal gold nanoparticles. J Phys Chem B. 1999;103(21):4212-4217.
21. Jain PK, Lee KS, El-Sayed IH, El-Sayed MA. Review of some interesting surface plasmon resonance-enhanced properties of noble metal nanoparticles and their applications to biosystems. Plasmonics. 2007;2(3):107-118.
22. Naik GV, Shalaev VM, Boltasseva A. Alternative plasmonic materials: beyond gold and silver. Adv Mater. 2013;25(24):3264-3294.
23. Guler U, Boltasseva A, Shalaev VM. Refractory plasmonics. Science. 2014;344(6181):263-264.
24. Low T, Chaves A, Caldwell JD, Kumar A, Fang N, Avouris P, *et al*. Polaritons in layered two-dimensional materials. Nat Mater. 2017;16(2):182-194.
25. Basov DN, Fogler MM, Garcia de Abajo FJ. Polaritons in van der Waals materials. Science. 2016;354(6309):aag1992.
26. Guo R, Yu M, Yang M, Zhao Y, Zhang G. Plasmon-enhanced photoluminescence in hybrid metal-semiconductor nanostructures. ACS Nano. 2010;4(9):5749-5760.
27. Meinzer N, Barnes WL, Hooper IR. Plasmonic meta-atoms and metasurfaces. Nat Photonics. 2014;8(12):889-898.
28. Lu D, Liu Z. Hyperlenses and metalenses for far-field super-resolution imaging. Nat Commun. 2012;3:1205.
29. Di Pietro P, Ortolani M, Limaj O, Di Gaspare A, Giliberti V, Giorgianni F, *et al*. Optical conductivity of topological insulator Bi_2Se_3. Nano Lett. 2013;13(12):6067-6072.
30. Naik GV, Kim J, Boltasseva A. Oxides and nitrides as alternative plasmonic materials in the optical range [Invited]. Opt Mater Express. 2011;1(6):1090-1099.
31. Zhang Y, Zhu K, Liu Y, Lin Y, Yin Y, Yang Z, *et al*. Plasmonic perovskite solar cells. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6142.
32. Zewail AH. 4D ultrafast electron diffraction, crystallography, and microscopy. Annu Rev Phys Chem. 2006;57:65-103.
33. Sundaramurthy A, Schuck PJ, Conley NR, Fromm DP, Kino GS, Moerner WE. Ultrafast plasmonics: imaging plasmons in space and time. Nano Lett. 2006;6(3):355-360.
34. Kawata S, Inouye Y, Verma P. Plasmonics for near-field nano-imaging and superlensing. Nat Photonics. 2009;3(7):388-394.
35. Keilmann F, Hillenbrand R. Near-field microscopy by elastic light scattering from a tip. Philos Trans R Soc A. 2004;362(1817):787-805.
36. Garcia de Abajo FJ. Optical excitations in electron microscopy. Rev Mod Phys. 2010;82(1):209-275.
37. Egerton RF. Electron energy-loss spectroscopy in the TEM. Rep Prog Phys. 2008;72(1):016502.
38. Taflove A, Hagness SC. Computational electrodynamics: the finite-difference time-domain method. Norwood, MA: Artech House; 2005.
39. Onida G, Reining L, Rubio A. Electronic excitations: density-functional versus many-body Green's-function approaches. Rev Mod Phys. 2002;74(2):601-659.
40. Esteban R, Borisov AG, Nordlander P, Aizpurua J. Bridging quantum and classical plasmonics with a quantum-corrected model. Nat Commun. 2012;3:825.
41. Prodan E, Radloff C, Halas NJ, Nordlander P. A hybridization model for the plasmon response of complex nanostructures. Science. 2003;302(5644):419-422.
42. Nordlander P, Oubre C, Prodan E, Li K, Stockman MI. Plasmon hybridization in nanoparticle dimers. Nano Lett. 2004;4(5):899-903.
43. Anker JN, Hall WP, Lyandres O, Shah NC, Zhao J, Van Duyne RP. Biosensing with plasmonic nanosensors. Nat Mater. 2008;7(6):442-453.
44. Mayer KM, Hafner JH. Localized surface plasmon resonance sensors. Chem Rev. 2011;111(6):3828-3857.
45. Wang Y, Zhou J, Li J. Plasmonic nanostructures for biosensing applications. Mater Today. 2020;41:81-98.
46. Hirsch LR, Gobin AM, Lowery AR, Tam F, Drezek RA, Halas NJ, *et al*. Metal nanoshells for cancer therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(23):8627-8632.
47. Huang X, Jain PK, El-Sayed IH, El-Sayed MA. Plasmonic photothermal therapy (PPTT) using gold nanoparticles. Lasers Med Sci. 2008;23(3):217-228.
48. Jaque D, Martínez Maestro L, del Rosal B, Haro-Gonzalez P, Benayas A, Plaza JL, *et al*. Nanoparticles for photothermal therapies. Nanoscale. 2014;6(16):9494-9530.
49. Linic S, Christopher P, Ingram DB. Plasmonic-metal nanostructures for efficient conversion of solar to chemical energy. Nat Mater. 2011;10(12):911-921.
50. Brongersma ML, Halas NJ, Nordlander P. Plasmon-induced hot carrier science and technology. Nat Nanotechnol. 2015;10(1):25-34.
51. Clavero C. Plasmon-induced hot-electron generation at nanoparticle/metal-oxide interfaces for photovoltaic and photocatalytic devices. Nat Photonics. 2014;8(2):95-103.
52. Maier SA. Plasmonics: fundamentals and applications. London: Springer; 2010.
53. Almeida VR, Barrios CA, Panepucci RR, Lipson M. All-optical control of light on a silicon chip. Nature. 2004;431(7012):1081-1084.
54. Zayats AV, Smolyaninov II, Maradudin AA. Nano-optics of surface plasmon polaritons. Phys Rep. 2005;408(3-4):131-314.
55. Tame MS, McEnery KR, Özdemir ŞK, Lee J, Maier SA, Kim MS. Quantum plasmonics. Nat Phys. 2013;9(6):329-340.
56. Heeres RW, Kouwenhoven LP, Zwiller V. Quantum interference in plasmonic circuits. Nat Nanotechnol. 2013;8(10):719-722.
57. Marini A, García de Abajo FJ. Self-organization of spatial solitons in plasmonic lattices. Sci Rep. 2016;6:20088.
58. Stockman MI. Nanoplasmonics: the physics behind the applications. Phys Today. 2011;64(2):39-44.
59. Kauranen M, Zayats AV. Nonlinear plasmonics. Nat

Photonics. 2012;6(11):737-748.
60. Vasa P, Lienau C. Strong light-matter interaction in quantum emitter/metal hybrid nanostructures. ACS Photonics. 2018;5(1):2-23.
61. Liu W, Lee B, Naylor CH, Ee HS, Park J, Johnson ATC, Agarwal R. Strong exciton-plasmon coupling in MoS_2 coupled with plasmonic lattice. Nano Lett. 2016;16(2):1262-1269.
62. Chikkaraddy R, de Nijs B, Benz F, Barrow SJ, Scherman OA, Rosta E, *et al*. Single-molecule strong coupling at room temperature in plasmonic nanocavities. Nature. 2016;535(7610):127-130.
63. Low T, Chaves A, Caldwell JD, Kumar A, Fang NX, Avouris P, *et al*. Polaritons in layered two-dimensional materials. Nat Mater. 2017;16(2):182-194.
64. Basov DN, Asenjo-Garcia A, Schuck PJ, Zhu X, Rubio A. Polariton panorama. Nanophotonics. 2021;10(1):549-577.
65. Novotny L, van Hulst N. Antennas for light. Nat Photonics. 2011;5(2):83-90.
66. Khurgin JB, Sun G. In search of the elusive lossless plasmon. Appl Phys Lett. 2014;104(22):221116.
67. Boltasseva A, Atwater HA. Low-loss plasmonic metamaterials. Science. 2011;331(6015):290-291.
68. Meinzer N, Barnes WL, Hooper IR. Plasmonic meta-atoms and metasurfaces. Nat Photonics. 2014;8(12):889-898.
69. Chen HT, Taylor AJ, Yu N. A review of metasurfaces: physics and applications. Rep Prog Phys. 2016;79(7):076401.
70. Kildishev AV, Boltasseva A, Shalaev VM. Planar photonics with metasurfaces. Science. 2013;339(6125):1232009.
71. Zheludev NI, Kivshar YS. From metamaterials to metadevices. Nat Mater. 2012;11(11):917-924.
72. Brongersma ML, Shalaev VM. The case for plasmonics. Science. 2010;328(5977):440-441.
73. Atwater HA, Polman A. Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices. Nat Mater. 2010;9(3):205-213.
74. Sorger VJ, Oulton RF, Ma RM, Zhang X. Toward integrated plasmonic circuits. MRS Bull. 2012;37(8):728-738.
75. Melikyan A, Helt LG, Chang-Hasnain C, Martens K, Duan H, Nilsson J, *et al*. High-speed plasmonic phase modulators. Nat Photonics. 2014;8(3):229-233.
76. Lalanne P, Hutley MC, Chavel P. Metalenses at visible wavelengths: past, present, perspectives. Laser Photonics Rev. 2017;11(3):1600295.
77. Yang Y, Kravchenko II, Briggs DP, Valentine J. All-dielectric metasurface analogue of electromagnetically induced transparency. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5753.
78. Khurgin JB. How to deal with the loss in plasmonics and metamaterials. Nat Nanotechnol. 2015;10(1):2-6.
79. Giannini V, Fernández-Domínguez AI, Heck SC, Maier SA. Plasmonic nanostructures for light harvesting and sensing. Chem Rev. 2011;111(6):3888-3912.